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Abstract
Introduction: Micronized cadaveric acellular dermal matrix (mADM) paste, which functions by filling vertical wound planes with acellular dermal matrix particles and gelatin suspension, is a novel option for the treatment of hard-to-heal wounds. We aimed to evaluate the clinical outcome of mADM paste used in conjunction with negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) in the treatment of hard-to-heal wounds.

Methods: This prospective clinical trial enrolled 20 patients with hard-to-heal wounds from January 2016 to January 2017. Wound healing was assessed at 1, 2, 3, and 4 weeks after treatment. Wound size and depth and patient satisfaction were recorded at each follow-up.

Results: The area of the wound was measured in all study participants, which decreased from an average of 15.48±22.38 cm² at the start of the study to 8.97±17.73 cm² after 4 weeks, with a reduction rate of 59.10%±31.76% (P<0.0001). Two weeks into the study, there was a significant decline in the wound depth compared with the previous week.

Conclusion: mADM with NPWT provides effective wound healing. It is more comfortable for the patients and less time-consuming for the nursing staff; thus, this treatment deserves a prominent place in the care of patients with hard-to-heal wounds.
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Introduction
Micronized cadaveric acellular dermal matrix (mADM) is a novel option for the treatment of chronic wounds. It can be manufactured into a highly versatile implant of paste-like consistency by mixing with a gelatin suspension, which can easily fill vertical wound planes and cavities for many applications, making it ideal to use with negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT). NPWT, also known as vacuum-assisted closure, utilizes topical negative pressure, a relatively new concept [1]. The range of indications for NPWT is constantly expanding. Indications include pressure sores, stasis ulcers, chronic hard-to-heal wounds such as diabetic foot ulcers, posttraumatic, and postoperative wounds

For implanted mADM to produce successful results, cell ingrowth and revascularization are essential, however sometimes these processes are not sufficient for mADM integration and wound healing [2]. The authors hypothesized that if chronic wounds were treated by using mADM collagen paste with NPWT, this would create a synergy effect, improving the outcome of mADM application and thereby increasing the speed of healing in the wound. We aimed to evaluate the clinical outcome of mADM paste with NPWT in the treatment of hard-to-heal wounds. Hard-to-heal wounds are...
lesions that do not follow the normal processes of repair and hence heal more slowly than acute wounds. A well-established practical and predictive measure of complete wound healing over the longer term (24 weeks) is percentage of change in the wound area over the first 4 weeks [3]. This paper reports the results from the initial 20 patients enrolled in a prospective observational clinical trial.

Methods

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Soonchunhyang University Hospital (IRB No. 2015-10-026) and all patients provided written informed consent before enrolling. The study was designed as a prospective clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy of mADM paste (CG Paste; Daewoong Pharmaceutical, Seoul, Korea) used together with NPWT (CurasVAC; Daewoong Pharmaceutical) in hard-to-heal wounds. From January 2016 to January 2017, 20 patients (age range, 44–82 years) with hard-to-heal wounds were enrolled in this clinical trial. Three patients had wounds on their sacral area, two had wounds on their upper extremities, five had wounds on their lower extremities except on the foot, and 10 had wounds on their feet. These were all chronic non-healing wounds with more than 1 month's duration. All wounds were normally treated for a month before this clinical study began, and proper debridement was implemented if there was a necrotizing wound. Patients who had a healing rate of less than 20% after a month of treatment were included in this study.

Each participants' liver and kidney function, glycemic control, neuropathy, and ankle-brachial index was evaluated before beginning the trial. Exclusion criteria were untreated cellulitis, malignancy, liver and kidney dysfunction, autoimmune and hematologic diseases, and history of treatment with corticosteroids, growth factors, or hyperbaric oxygen therapy with ACC. Patients with a history of gout, diabetes, or a suffered a previous cerebral infarction, was bed-ridden for skin grafting, or healing by secondary intention.

Wound healing was assessed at 1, 2, 3, and 4 weeks after treatment. Wound size and depth and patient satisfaction were recorded at each follow-up using scored survey and adverse events were monitored throughout the follow-up. Vital signs and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were also recorded weekly.

The primary outcome measures were as follows: wound-healing time, change in wound surface, pain, total cost, and time invested by the medical and nursing staff. Secondary outcome measures were adverse effects and comfort, and also included hematoma and seroma occurrence rates and reoperation rates. Wound-healing time was calculated from the date of initial debridement to the date of reaching an endpoint. An endpoint was a completely granulated wound, a wound ready for skin grafting, or healing by secondary intention.

The wound surface area and depth were calculated by measuring the longest horizontal axis, the longest vertical axis perpendicular to the horizontal axis with a flexible ruler, and the deepest height. After each measurement, the results were obtained according to Xakellis and Frantz method, using the following formula [4]:

\[
\text{Wound surface area} = \text{length} \times \text{width} \times 0.783.
\]

The level of significance was set at 5% for all analyses. Using descriptive statistics, the number of examinees, mean, standard deviation, median value, minimum value, and maximum value were the variables in the first effectiveness test analysis. Differences among baseline and post-treatment for mean wound area and wound depth were determined by paired t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test. If there were missing data regarding wound depth at each point, the value from the previous observation was carried forward (last observation carried forward).

Case 1
A 57-year-old man presented with a third-degree contact burn, chronic osteomyelitis, and joint exposure on his right foot (Fig. 1A). He was previously diagnosed with diabetes and hypertension as well as chronic venous insufficiency and uncontrolled hyperglycemia. Initially, he underwent aggressive and accurate debridement with marginal excision and removal of debris from the joint space until dirty granulation tissue no longer formed. Then, mADM paste was applied to the wound surface followed by a silicone barrier (Mepitel One; Mölnlycke Health Care, Gothenburg, Sweden) and NPWT with 80 mmHg pressure. The wound healed without additional surgical management within 4 weeks (Fig. 1B).

Case 2
A 73-year-old man presented with a 9.9×7.0-cm grade IV pressure sore on the sacral area. He also had Parkinson disease and a suffered a previous cerebral infarction, was bed-ridden and in poor general health. After initial aggressive debridement mADM paste was applied to the wound surface and covered with a silicone barrier. NPWT with low pressure (75
mmHg) was then applied. The wound had decreased to 7.4×5.1 cm after 4 weeks' treatment (Fig. 2). Wound depth also decreased from 15 mm to 6 mm.

**Case 3**

A 54-year-old man presented with a venous stasis ulcer and a 2.0×2.0-cm skin defect on his lower leg. The patient had edema and varicose veins, and the wound was covered with yellow fibrous tissue and infected discharge. Serial surgical debridement was performed until healthy granulation tissue on the wound was evident. mADM paste was then applied directly to the wound with a silicone barrier and NPWT. The wound

---

**Fig. 1.** Case 1. (A) A 57-year-old man presented with a third-degree contact burn, chronic osteomyelitis, and joint exposure. (B) The wound healed with conservative treatment within 4 weeks.

**Fig. 2.** Case 2. (A) A 73-year-old man presented with a 9.9×7.0-cm grade IV pressure sore. (B, C) Micronized cadaveric acellular dermal matrix and silicone barrier were applied with negative pressure wound therapy. (D) The wound had decreased in size to 7.4×5.1 cm 4 weeks after treatment.
had decreased to 0.3×0.3 cm 4 weeks after treatment (Fig. 3).

Case 4
A 74-year-old man presented with skin tearing and a 5.0×7.0-cm skin defect, which was likely the result of iatrogenic Cushing syndrome. Four weeks after treatment with mADM paste and NPWT, the wound was completely healed (Fig. 4).

Fig. 3. Case 3. (A) A 54-year-old man presented with a venous static ulcer and 2.0×2.0-cm skin defect. (B) Micronized cadaveric acellular dermal matrix and silicone barrier were applied with negative pressure wound therapy. (C) The wound had decreased in size to 0.3×0.3 cm 4 weeks after treatment.

Fig. 4. Case 4. (A) A 74-year-old man presented with a skin tearing and 5.0×7.0-cm skin defect. (B) Micronized cadaveric acellular dermal matrix and silicone barrier were applied with negative pressure wound therapy. (C) Four weeks after treatment, the wound was completely healed.

Results
Twenty participants were registered in this trial. The demographic information and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. Among the variables of the first effectiveness test, the mean area and depths of the wounds were observed to have decreased. The area of the wound was measured in all 20 study
participants, which decreased from an average of 15.48±22.38 cm² at the start of the study to 8.97±17.73 cm² after 4 weeks, with a reduction rate of 59.10%±31.76% (P<0.0001).

In addition to the area of the wound, we also measured the vertical wound depth whenever possible. The wound depth was measured every week, and patients were excluded from the list when they had healed to a degree that rendered it impossible to measure the wound depth. At the start of the study, the depth of the wound was measurable and showed an average depth of 9.8±8.3 mm for 12 patients. The average of the measurable wound depth was obtained each week and the extent of change made was compared with the previous week, using Wilcoxon signed-rank test and paired t-test. Two weeks into the study, there was a significant decline in the wound depth compared with the previous week, and at 2, 3, and 4 weeks of the study, all statistical results were significant (Table 2).

The number and percentage of examinees were given by descriptive statistics among variables in the second effectiveness test. After 4 weeks’ treatment, 13 of the 20 patients (65%) had wound closure through secondary intention healing using only mADM paste with NPWT. In two patients, the wound beds were successfully prepared with healthy granulation tissue; thus, they were treated with skin graft or local flap coverage. Counting these two patients, a total of 15 patients (75%) fully recovered within 4 weeks. Hematoma or seroma did not occur in any patient during the research period and re-operation was needed in one patient at approximately 1 to 2 weeks (Table 3).

Vital signs including blood pressure, pulse, temperature, and respiration rate were examined, and each time point was compared with baseline by paired t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test. No difference was found at any time point compared to baseline.

The CRP level at each point was compared to baseline by paired t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The number of examinees and 95% confidence intervals were given by classifying normal and abnormal CRP levels. There were no statistically significant changes.

No patients exhibited any adverse event related to the treatment. At the conclusion of the clinical trial, all patients were satisfied or very satisfied with the treatment.

**Discussion**

Wound healing involves interactions between cells and their micro-environment. The extracellular matrix (ECM) provides structural support for organs, tissues, cell layers (as basement membranes) and individual cells (as substrates for motility). ECM also has a significant role in cell adhesion and cell signal-
ing through adhesion receptors including integrins [5-7]. Moreover, mechanical characteristics of the matrix such as stiffness and deformability provide inputs into cell behavior [8,9]. Therefore, it is clear that ECM proteins and structures play an important role in cell determination, differentiation, diffusion, survival, polarity, and migration. The application of acellular dermal matrix (ADM) is rising as a useful substitute for the ECM in chronic wounds [10,11]. When applied to a wound, ADMs provide an intact framework that acts as a scaffolding of biological components (such as collagen, elastin and fibronectin) for ingrowth of the patient’s cells, allowing the body to initiate a self-tissue regeneration process, while also retaining the ECM’s role in cell adhesion and cell signaling.

Conventional ADM products have disadvantages, such as high cost and inability to fit in irregularly contoured wounds. To overcome these issues, we used mADM. Compared to conventional ADM, mADM can be used for less than half the price to cover a wound of the same volume. The mADM paste used in this study is CG Paste which is manufactured by mixing with a gelatin suspension. Gelatin is a protein biopolymer derived from native collagen, which is a structural protein that occupies the most part of the human skin, tendon, cartilage, and bone. Gelatin has several advantages such as biological origin, non-immunogenicity, biodegradability, biocompatibility, and relatively low cost [12]. In this study, NPWT was used together to enhance the effectiveness of mADM. The use of negative pressure to promote wound healing has increased in popularity in the past decade, and we also have obtained good results in the healing of complex wounds using NPWT.

Strangely, few randomized clinical trials have been performed to investigate the digitized comparisons of NPWT and modern wound dressings. One study randomized 32 patients to vacuum-assisted closure therapy with the modern dressing

| Table 2. First effectiveness test: mean area of the wound and progress of decreasing depth |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| Wound area (cm²) | No. of patients | Mean±SD | Median (range) | P-value*
| Baseline (0 day) | 20 | 15.48±22.38 | 5.98 (0.56 to 91.42) |
| Visit 5 (4 weeks) | 20 | 8.97±17.73 | 2.56 (0.01 to 75.73) |
| Reduction rate (visit 5-baseline) | 20 | 59.10±31.76 | 61.81 (6.35 to 99.62) | <0.0001*
| Wound depth (mm) | | | |
| Baseline (0 day) | 12 | 9.8±8.3 | 7.5 (1 to 30) |
| Visit 2 (1 week) | 10 | 6.4±5.3 | 4 (2 to 20) |
| Visit 3 (2 weeks) | 10 | 5.4±4.9 | 3.5 (1.5 to 18) |
| Visit 4 (3 weeks) | 9 | 2.2±2.7 | 2 (0 to 8) |
| Visit 5 (4 weeks) | 5 | 4.4±4.3 | 2 (0 to 10) |
| Difference (visit 2-baseline) | 10 | -0.90±1.73 | -1 (-3 to 3) | 0.1341 |
| Difference (visit 3-baseline) | 10 | -1.85±1.92 | -1.75 (-5 to 2) | 0.0137 |
| Difference (visit 4-baseline) | 9 | -7.56±9.13 | -3 (-25 to 2) | 0.0156 |
| Difference (visit 5-baseline) | 5 | -2.60±1.52 | -2 (-5 to -1) | 0.0186 |

*Result of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test or paired t-test for result comparison from the contrasted baseline for each test time; *P<0.05.

| Table 3. Second effectiveness test: rate of occurrence of infection, hematoma, occurrence of seroma, and reoperation |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Infection incidence | No. (%) | 95% Confidence interval |
| Baseline (0 day) | 0 | 0.00–16.84 |
| Visit 2 (1 week) | 0 | 0.00–16.84 |
| Visit 3 (2 weeks) | 0 | 0.00–17.65 |
| Visit 4 (3 weeks) | 0 | 0.00–21.16 |
| Visit 5 (4 weeks) | 0 | 0.00–33.63 |
| Hematoma, seroma incidence | | |
| Visit 2 (1 week) | 0 | 0.00–16.84 |
| Visit 3 (2 weeks) | 0 | 0.00–17.65 |
| Visit 4 (3 weeks) | 0 | 0.00–21.16 |
| Visit 5 (4 weeks) | 0 | 0.00–33.63 |
| Reoperation rate | | |
| Visit 2 (1 week) | 0 | 0.00–16.84 |
| Visit 3 (2 weeks) | 1 (5.26) | 0.13–26.03 |
| Visit 4 (3 weeks) | 0 | 0.00–21.16 |
| Visit 5 (4 weeks) | 0 | 0.00–33.63 |
group being the control group. Jones et al. [13] used NPWT and observed that the wound healing was at least as fast as that with modern wound dressings. The total costs of NPWT are comparable to those of modern wound dressings, but the advantage is its comfort for patients and the medical staff [14]. Another study retrospectively reviewed the charts of 20 patients with infected wounds treated using NPWT. The use of the NPWT led to a mean reduction of 29% in the wound area after 42 days (range, 95.65–68.1 cm²; P<0.05) [13]. In a previous study, we applied NPWT to pressure ulcers for 1 month, and observed -18.08%±31.32% and -4.28±8.83 cm² area change [15]. Despite the severity of wounds in patients in our present study, mADM with NPWT resulted in significantly better healing time.

There has not been much progress yet in the study of mADM, and some case reports have been reported, but no randomized and prospective research has yet been applied to the use of mADM in chronic wounds. Jeon and Kim [16] perform a retrospective study which applied mADM to chronic wounds, and healing was achieved in an average period of 2.4 weeks in five out of seven people. We also have experience in using mADM in other studies to complete healing chronic wound caused by silicone injection [17]. On these bases, we believed mADM paste would promote rapid revascularization and cellular repopulation of the matrix scaffold even in patients who had bone or tendon exposure, achieving a proper granulation tissue bed for skin grafting.

Another very important advantage of mADM with NPWT is that, just as with NPWT, it involves minimal discomfort for the patient and relative ease for the treating team of physicians and nursing staff. Dressings do not need to be changed as frequent as other conventional dressings, and minimal or none odor and fluid leakage occurs with NPWT. The paste-type mADM is also very easy to apply and contour to complex wound surfaces, requiring only a few seconds.

In this study, we applied NPWT with controlled continuous or intermittent pressure. A recent trend is to maintain low pressure on the wound to prevent poor circulation. We also carefully observe wounds for signs of compromised circulation during every change of NPWT.

The main drawback of this study is that it did not able to compare the effects of mADM paste used together with NPWT with those of using only NPWT or only mADM. Further research will be needed in these aspects. Our use of mADM paste with NPWT decreased the size of even very large wounds, but not all of the mADM paste applied was successfully integrated into the wounds, and residual material did fall out during dressing changes. To compensate for this, research will be necessary to determine the appropriate amount of mADM paste to apply to wounds in order to reduce waste.

Our results show that mADM with NPWT provides effective wound healing in hard-to-heal wounds. It is more comfortable for patients and less time-consuming for the medical staff; thus, this treatment deserves a prominent place in the care of hard-to-heal wound patients.
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